

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/914,923	10/31/2002	Torkil Storstein	2001-1199A 5969 EXAMINER		
513 759	90 06/22/2004				
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			LAVILLA, MICHAEL E		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			1775		
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	+
		, ,	
Advisory Action	09/914,923	STORSTEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael La Villa	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this accomplished in	Michael La Villa	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a nation places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c }\hline \end{tabular}$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	1
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		·	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	·		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 9-21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
10. Other:		·	
To.[Outer	Cahlla	6/14/04	
		,	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to Claim 15, reciting particle size, is a new issue requiring further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In view of non-entry of applicant's amendment, applicant's arguments cannot be persuasive of patentability. Had applicant's amendment been entered, all pending rejections would have been withdrawn. New rejections of Claim 15 with respect to section 112, first and second paragraph rejections would have been required. Applicant's comments do not clarify support for the subject matter of and meaning of Claim 15. Particularly, none of the particles in Figures 3 and 4 appear to be as large as 5 mils. Particles appear to be less than and on the order of 25 microns, or 1 mil. It is unclear what is the relationship between the text disclosure of particles having sizes of 5 to 30 mils and the depiction of apparently much smaller particles in the figures. It is unclear whether the claimed particle size numerical values are of the appropriate units.

LaNele 6/14/04